Multi-theory Model (MTM) as a Predictor of Mental Health-related Treatment Seeking Behavior: Dallion T. Richards, Honors Public Health The Use of Telehealth During COVID-19

University of Arkansas

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has heightened psychological distress, particularly in high-risk, college student populations, and required prioritization of telehealth-based care. Help-seeking is critical for harm reduction but understanding the rapid shift to telehealth services is further obscured by significant perceived and definite barriers to utilization. Although tele-mental health services improve access to care and are perceived positively by college students, a prevalence of untreated mental health disorders remains. The objective of this study was to identify psycho-social and behavioral correlates of telehealth-based, psychological help-seeking among college students and investigate the efficacy of the Multi-theory Model of health behavior change (MTM) (Figure. 1) in predicting initiation and sustenance of tele-mental health treatment seeking behavior.

MTM Rationale

The MTM is the only health behavior theory specifically targeted at <u>health behavior change</u>. The MTM consists of two components: <u>initiation (one-time health behavior change)</u> and <u>sustenance (long-term continuation of a health behavior)</u>. This distinction is important for operationalizing these components independently. Health behavior initiation is determined by participatory dialogue (advantages minus disadvantages), behavioral confidence, and changes in the physical environment. Sustenance of a health behavior is determined by emotional transformation, practice for change, and changes in the social environment. The MTM is ideal for assessing tele-mental health treatment seeking behavior due to its previously demonstrated effectiveness for predicting health behavior changes across global demographics.



Figure. 2: Desriptive Statistics

ARKANSAS.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for study variables with test of group means between individuals with prior telehealth use and with no prior telehealth use

	Telehealth use individuals (n = 156)				No telehealth use individuals (n = 127)				
	Possible range	Observed range	Mean (SD)	Cronbach's alpha	Possible range	Observed range	Mean (SD)	Cronbach's alpha	p-value
Initiation	0 – 4	0 – 4	2.51 (1.00)	-	0 - 4	0-4	1.73 (1.005)	-	< 0.001
Participatory dialogue: advantages	0-24	1 - 24	15.76 (3.97)	0.82	0-24	0-24	14.60 (4.10)	0.92	0.012
Participatory dialogue: disadvantages	0-24	0-22	10.72 (4.35)	0.78	0-24	0 - 18	9.88 (3.64)	0.76	0.068
Participatory dialogue: advantages – disadvantages	-24 - +24	1 – 2	5.01 (6.92)	-	-24 - +24	0-6	4.73 (5.78)	-	0.709
Behavioral Confidence	0 - 24	0 - 24	14.66 (4.57)	0.81	0 - 24	0 - 24	12.68 (5.16)	0.88	< 0.001
Changes in the physical environment	0 - 12	0 - 12	7.42 (2.80)	0.72	0 - 12	0 - 12	7.28 (3.32)	0.84	0.692
Sustenance	0-4	0 – 4	2.36 (1.05)	-	0-4	0-4	1.62 (0.985)	-	< 0.001
Emotional transformation	0 - 12	0 - 12	7.08 (2.68)	0.81	0-12	0-12	6.17 (3.05)	0.91	0.006
Practice for change	0 - 16	0 - 12	7.60 (2.74)	0.64	0 - 16	1 - 12	7.11 (3.07)	0.78	0.147
Changes in the social environment	0 - 12	0 - 12	9.69 (3.36)	0.76	0-12	0-12	8.62 (3.73)	0.88	0.011

Methodology

College students (n = 328) were randomly recruited to participate in this crosssectional study. Participants completed an electronic questionnaire assessing demographic information, substance use, mental health status, perceptions of stress and stigma, and direct measures of MTM constructs. Scales used: <u>PHQ-4</u>, <u>AUDIT-C</u>, <u>Perceived Stress Scale</u>, <u>Self-stigma for Seeking Help Scale</u>, and previously validated screeners for suicidality. Bivariate analysis and hierarchical multiple regression modeling tested the MTM's predictability and the significance of psycho-social and behavioral variables.

Figure. 3: Regression Model

Telehealth use	b	S.E.	В	р	LBCI	UBCI
Initiation	-	-	-	-	-	-
Perceived self-stigma	0.018	0.012	0.136	0.117	-0.005	0.042
Perceived need for help	0.278	0.241	0.077	0.250	-0.198	0.755
Prescription drug misuse	-0.120	0.164	-0.061	0.468	-0.445	0.205
Suicide plans or attempts	0.027	0.183	0.012	0.884	-0.335	0.389
Participatory dialogue: advantages —disadvantages	0.035	0.012	0.249	0.005	0.011	0.060
Behavioral confidence	0.082	0.019	0.381	< 0.001	0.044	0.120
Changes in physical environment	0.052	0.028	0.150	0.065	-0.003	0.108

Model statistics: Adjusted $R^2 = 0.349$, $F_{(7, 146)} = 12.721$, p < 0.05

	·····,	,	- (7, 140)		
Sustenance	-	-		-	-
Perceived self-stigma	-0.002	0.011	-0.016 0.835	-0.024	0.020
Emotional transformation	tion 0.059	0.042	0.151 0.162	-0.024	0.143
Practice for change	0.044	0.032	0.117 0.167	-0.019	0.108
Changes in the social environment	0.091	0.036	0.290 0.014	0.019	0.162

Model statistics: Adjusted $R^2 = 0.232$, $F_{(4, 159)} = 13.33$, p < 0.05

1 5 . (4,100) .1						
No telehealth use	b	S.E.	В	р	LBCI	UBCI
Initiation	-	-	-	-	-	-
In-person treatment	0.855	0.257	0.269	0.001	0.347	1.363
Age	0.005	0.009	0.046	0.579	-0.13	0.023
Participatory dialogue: advantages – disadvantages	0.072	0.016	0.408	< 0.001	0.040	0.103
Behavioral confidence	0.045	0.021	0.236	0.030	0.004	0.086
Changes in the physical environment	-0.042	0.029	-0.137	0.160	-0.100	0.017
Model	Statistics: Adjust	ed $R^2 = 0.271$,	$F_{(5, 114)} = 9.8$	6, p < 0.05		
Sustenance	-	-	-	-	-	-
In-person treatment	0.686	0.264	0.224	0.010	0.164	1.208
Race	0.047	0.187	0.022	0.802	-0.324	0.418
Employment	-0.218	0.168	-0.111	0.198	-0.552	0.115
Living arrangement	-0.409	0.175	-0.197	0.021	-0.755	-0.62
Emotional transformation	0.073	0.048	0.227	0.131	-0.22	0.167
Practice for change	-0.009	0.035	-0.029	0.793	-0.80	0.061
Changes in the social environment	0.040	0.042	0.152	0.347	-0.044	0.123
Model Statistics: Adjusted $R^2 = 0.189$, $F_{(7, 123)} = 5.33$, $p < 0.05$						
*S.E. = standard error of the estimate; LBCI = lower bound of the 95% confidence interval; UBCI = upper						
bound of the 95% confidence interval.						

Results

Approximately 70% of participants had a perceived need for formal help due to psychological distress. Additionally, 38.7% reported suicidal ideation, 56.1% and 43.3% screened positive for anxiety and depression, respectively. Anxiety, depression, and suicidality severity were positively associated with previous 12-month telehealth helpseeking behavior. For the MTM, participants seeking psychological help perceived significantly higher advantages related to formal help-seeking, greater confidence to get help via telehealth, greater ability to emotionally direct themselves toward telehealthbased help, and increased perceived social support for telehealth use. Intent to seek psychological help via telehealth was significantly correlated with most study variables. The MTM constructs 'advantages/disadvantages' and 'behavioral confidence' significantly influenced intention to seek help via telehealth, after controlling for all variables of psychological distress among participants both with and without previous 12-month use of telehealth services. Of note, perceived self-stigma was significant in individuals who had prior telehealth use and higher in those who had also experienced in-person treatment. Finally, living status was a significant determinant of sustenance in those with in-person treatment experience, but no prior telehealth use.

Discussion

The MTM effectively conceptualizes telehealth-based treatment-seeking among college students with psychological distress. Future behavioral interventions should, at a minimum, assess behavioral confidence and consider individuals' perceived advantages and disadvantages prior to implementation. Findings from this research will be useful in creating psycho-social and behavioral strategies for programs targeted at increasing telehealth-based psychological help-seeking in college student populations. Self-stigma is also a significant determinant of tele-mental health treatment seeking behavior that requires further research. Future MTM studies should use a prospective study design to effectively measure construct predictability for actual behavior versus mere intent.

Key References

- Kim, R., Stephens, P., Nahar, V., & Sharma, M. (2018). Influencing health behavior change related to musculoskeletal conditions – The need to expand the evidence base and the case for multitheory model. *American Journal of Physical Medicine* and Rehabilitation, 97(12), 933-934. Doi: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000968
- Nahar, V., Sharma, M., Catalano, H., Ickes, M., Johnson, P., & Ford, A. (2016). Testing multi-theory model (MTM) in predicting initiation and sustenance of physical activity behavior among college students. *Health Promotion Perspectives*. 6(2), 58-65. doi: 10.15171/hpp.2016.11
- Panahi, R., Pishvaei, M., & Ghaderi, N. (2018). Multi-theory model of behavior change: An appropriate model for creating health behaviors. *Journal of Research and Health, 8*(6), 483-484. Doi: <u>10.29252/jrh.8.6.483</u>
- Sharma, M. (2015). Multi-theory model (MTM) for health behavior change. Web MedCentral: Behavior, 6(9). <u>http://www.webmedcentral.co.uk/article_view/4982</u>
- Sharma, M., Khubchandani, J., & Nahar, V. (2017a). Applying a new theory to smoking cessation: Case of multi-theory model (MTM) for health behavior change. *Health Promotion Perspectives*, 7(2), 102-105. doi: 10.15171/hpp.2017.18
- Sharma, M. & Nahar, V. (2017). New approach for promoting HPV vaccination in college men based on multi-theory model (MTM) of health behavior change. *Journal of Preventive Medicine and Hygiene, 58*(3), E203-E210

College of Education & Health Professions This research has been supported by a Student Undergraduate Research Fellowship grant.