## Sample Poster Presentation Scoring Rubric

Judge's Name:	
Presenter's Name:	
Poster Number & Letter:	

Comments: \_\_\_

Caara	TZ
Score	Nev

- 0 = No Attempt
- 1 =Developing
- 2 = Competent
- 3 = Exemplary

er Number & Letter:					
ase rate the poster/presenter from 0 to 3 on each of the following (circle one):					
Statement of Purpose/Problem/Rationale:					
Clearly stated questions, purpose, or hypotheses being addressed	0	1	2	3	
Well-explained rationale/justification for the study	0	1	2	3	
Project objectives are clearly outlined	0	1	2	3	
Literature Review/Background Theory:					
Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed	0	1	2	3	
Gap in knowledge/exploration identified	0	1	2	3	
Succinct	0	1	2	3	
References are cited appropriately	0	1	2	3	
Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):					
Clear description of methods used	0	1	2	3	
Methods are appropriate to address aim(s)/question/purpose	0	1	2	3	
Analysis/Results:					
Figures/tables/visuals used appropriately to present the project	0	1	2	3	
Findings are presented clearly and accurately	0	1	2	3	
Analysis/project reflection is well explained and appropriately applied	0	1	2	3	
Conclusion/Discussion:					
Addressed study's problem/question/purpose	0	1	2	3	
	0	1	2	3	
	0	1			
Importance of findings/project is addressed	0	1	2	3	
Presentation Overall:					
Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster	0	1	2	3	
Flow of information is logical and facilitates understanding	0	1	2	3	
	0	1	2	3	
1	0	1	2	3	
Poster was correct size (no larger than 36x48")	0			3	
		7	Γotal	/ 6	3
	References are cited appropriately  Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):  Clear description of methods used  Methods are appropriate to address aim(s)/question/purpose  Analysis/Results:  Figures/tables/visuals used appropriately to present the project  Findings are presented clearly and accurately  Analysis/project reflection is well explained and appropriately applied  Conclusion/Discussion:	ase rate the poster/presenter from 0 to 3 on each of the following (circle one):  Statement of Purpose/Problem/Rationale:  Clearly stated questions, purpose, or hypotheses being addressed 0  Well-explained rationale/justification for the study 0  Project objectives are clearly outlined 0  Literature Review/Background Theory:  Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed 0  Gap in knowledge/exploration identified 0  Succinct 0  References are cited appropriately 0  Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):  Clear description of methods used 0  Methods are appropriate to address aim(s)/question/purpose 0  Analysis/Results:  Figures/tables/visuals used appropriately to present the project 0  Findings are presented clearly and accurately 0  Analysis/project reflection is well explained and appropriately applied 0  Conclusion/Discussion:  Addressed study's problem/question/purpose 0  Conclusions sufficiently supported by results/documented experience 0  Results are placed into broader framework 0  Importance of findings/project is addressed 0  Presentation Overall:  Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster 0  Flow of information is logical and facilitates understanding 0  Presenter summarized study/project clearly 0  Presenter answered questions well 0	Statement of Purpose/Problem/Rationale:  Clearly stated questions, purpose, or hypotheses being addressed 0 1 Well-explained rationale/justification for the study 0 1 Project objectives are clearly outlined 0 1 Literature Review/Background Theory: Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed 0 1 Gap in knowledge/exploration identified 0 1 Succinct 0 1 References are cited appropriately 0 1 Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness): Clear description of methods used 0 1 Methods are appropriate to address aim(s)/question/purpose 0 1 Analysis/Results: Figures/tables/visuals used appropriately to present the project 0 1 Findings are presented clearly and accurately 0 1 Analysis/project reflection is well explained and appropriately applied 0 1 Conclusion/Discussion: Addressed study's problem/question/purpose 0 1 Conclusions sufficiently supported by results/documented experience 0 1 Results are placed into broader framework 0 1 Importance of findings/project is addressed 0 1 Presentation Overall: Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster 0 1 Flow of information is logical and facilitates understanding 0 1 Presenter summarized study/project clearly 0 1 Presenter answered questions well 0 1 Presenter answered questions well 0 1 Presenter answered questions well 0 1 Poster was correct size (no larger than 36x48") 0	sase rate the poster/presenter from 0 to 3 on each of the following (circle one):  Statement of Purpose/Problem/Rationale:  Clearly stated questions, purpose, or hypotheses being addressed 0 1 2  Well-explained rationale/justification for the study 0 1 2  Project objectives are clearly outlined 0 1 2  Literature Review/Background Theory:  Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed 0 1 2  Gap in knowledge/exploration identified 0 1 2  Succinct 0 1 2  References are cited appropriately 0 1 2  Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):  Clear description of methods used 0 1 2  Methods are appropriate to address aim(s)/question/purpose 0 1 2  Analysis/Results:  Figures/tables/visuals used appropriately to present the project 0 1 2  Findings are presented clearly and accurately 0 1 2  Analysis/project reflection is well explained and appropriately applied 0 1 2  Conclusion/Discussion:  Addressed study's problem/question/purpose 0 1 2  Conclusions sufficiently supported by results/documented experience 0 1 2  Results are placed into broader framework 0 1 2  Importance of findings/project is addressed 0 1 2  Presentation Overall:  Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster 0 1 2  Flow of information is logical and facilitates understanding 0 1 2  Presenter summarized study/project clearly 0 1 2  Presenter answered questions well 0 1 2  Presenter answered questions well 0 1 2	ase rate the poster/presenter from 0 to 3 on each of the following (circle one):  Statement of Purpose/Problem/Rationale:  Clearly stated questions, purpose, or hypotheses being addressed 0 1 2 3 Well-explained rationale/justification for the study 0 1 2 3 Project objectives are clearly outlined 0 1 2 3  Project objectives are clearly outlined 0 1 2 3  Eiterature Review/Background Theory:  Relevant previous work thoroughly reviewed 0 1 2 3  Gap in knowledge/exploration identified 0 1 2 3  Succinct 0 1 2 3  References are cited appropriately 0 1 2 3  Methods (Explanation/Appropriateness):  Clear description of methods used 0 1 2 3  Methods are appropriate to address aim(s)/question/purpose 0 1 2 3  Analysis/Results:  Figures/tables/visuals used appropriately to present the project 0 1 2 3  Findings are presented clearly and accurately 0 1 2 3  Analysis/project reflection is well explained and appropriately applied 0 1 2 3  Conclusion/Discussion:  Addressed study's problem/question/purpose 0 1 2 3  Conclusions sufficiently supported by results/documented experience 0 1 2 3  Results are placed into broader framework 0 1 2 3  Importance of findings/project is addressed 0 1 2 3  Presentation Overall:  Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster 0 1 2 3  Presentation Overall:  Effective overall aesthetic/organization of poster 0 1 2 3  Presenter summarized study/project clearly 0 1 2 3  Presenter summarized study/project clearly 0 1 2 3  Presenter summarized study/project clearly 0 1 2 3  Presenter answered questions well 0 1 2 3  Presenter answered study study/project clearly 0 1 2 3  Poster was correct size (no larger than 36x48") 0 3